

June 25, 2024

Ryan Sticka McMinnville Water & Light PO Box 638 McMinnville, OR 97128

Re: McMinnville Water & Light (00497) Tracer Study (PR# 139-2015) Final Approval

Dear Mr. Sticka:

This letter is to:

 Issue Final Approval for plan review # 139-2015 based on the results of the tracer study conducted on August 30, 2023, and subsequent approval by Nicole Alfafara in a letter dated January 29, 2024 (enclosed).



- 2) Notify you regarding the following error found in Figure 1 of the 12/18/23 final tracer study report: The 1,088-ft of 36" pipe in the diagram shown above should be 3,263-ft.
- Notify you regarding the following error found in the supplemental data appendix of tracer study approval letter

| 4. | Clearwell – 2 nd User on the 16" pipeline: | |
|----|---|--|
| | Average Pipe Flowrate: | 2062 gpm (2.97 MGD) |
| | Pipe Dimensions: | 337 ft of 42" + 300 ft of 36" + 18,700 ft of 16" |
| | Total Pipe Volume | 373,864 gallons |
| | Contact Time (T ₁₀) | 158 minutes |
| | | |

dated January 29, 2024: The pipe dimensions in appendix #4 for the 16" pipeline should be: 337-ft of 42" + 300-ft of 36" + 3,263-ft of 36" + 15,436-ft of 16" for a total pipe volume of 373,879 gallons when calculated using the following formulas in MS Excel:

- Pipe volume in ft³ = (PI())*0.25*((pipe diameter in inches/12)^2)*(pipe length in ft).
- Pipe volume in gallons = (Volume in ft^3) x (7.48052 gallons/ ft^3)



800 NE Oregon Street, #640 Portland, OR 97232-2162 Phone: 971-201-9794 Fax: 971-673-0694 www.healthoregon.org/dwp

- 4) Allow a method of determining CT_{actual} and $CT_{required}$ using:
 - a. A single contact time value of 71 minutes, and
 - b. Disinfection parameters (chlorine residual, pH, and temperature) measured daily at the Norman Scott Water Treatment Plant (WTP) "cup sink".

A contact time of 71 minutes represents the contact time of 33 minutes through the clearwell (determined during the August 30, 2023, tracer study) plus an additional 38 minutes of contact time using a peak flow of 10.1 gpm through the piping from the clearwell to the office cup sink. The pipeline calculations shown below were sent as an e-mail attachment from Craig Massie with Jacobs on May 30, 2024.



| Pipe Diameter, inches | Pipe Length, ft | Vol, ft^3 | Pipe Volume, gallons |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|
| 4 | 263 | 22.95106028 | 171.67 |
| 2 | 28 | 0.610864722 | 4.57 |
| 8 | 78 | 27.22711333 | 203.66 |
| 0.5 | 20 | 0.027270747 | 0.204 |
| Total = | 389 | 50.81630908 | 380.11 |
| Flow, gpm | | | 10.1 |

Contact Time = [Total Volume, gpm] / [Flow, gpm] =

The sample location for measuring CT parameters (chlorine residual, pH, and temperature) is to be at the WTP cup sink shown below.

Although additional contact time is available in both 16" and 24" waterlines for the subsequent "second use" customers, the method above focuses on the available contact

time and CT parameters to ensure potable water is provided at the treatment plant, which is considered the first customer.

You may continue to use the old method currently in use for the remainder of June 2024, however, please begin using the new method with 71 minutes of contact time beginning July 1, 2024.



37.63 minutes

The flow chart below shows some of the follow-up actions needed should the required CT not be met at the treatment plant.

For routine CT determinations at 1st user at the water treatment plant (WTP)

- Use T = 71 minutes to calculate CT_{actual}
- Calculate CT_{required} using pH, free chlorine residual, and temperature measured at the cup sink.

If CT_{required} is not met at the WTP

- Post "Do Not Drink" notice(s) for the WTP
- Determine CT_{actual} & CT_{required} for the second user(s) see below.
- Notify state regulator as soon as possible.
- Take corrective actions as needed to increase CT_{actual.}

Calculate CT_{actual} & CT_{required} for second user(s) in each pipeline that is in use

24" pipeline (if in use)

- Use T = 107 minutes to calculate CT_{actual}
- Calculate CT_{required} using pH, free chlorine residual, and temperature measured at the cup sink or at the 24" valve sample station shown below.

16" pipeline (if in use)

- Use T = 158 minutes to calculate CT_{actual}
- Calculate CT_{required} using pH, free chlorine residual, and temperature measured at the cup sink or at the 16" valve sample station shown below.

Valve Sample Stations



Valve at 16"



Valve at 24-inch

CT calculations demonstrate that CT_{required} is met under all contact time scenarios when the chlorine residual is at least 1 mg/l $(pH = 7.5, temperature = 5^{\circ}C \& 0.5$ -log *Giardia* inactivation).

| Contact time of 58-minutes used on 5/1/24 for May 2024 monthly operating report. | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|-----------|-------|------|--------------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Minimum Cl ₂ Residual at 1st User (C) ³ | Contact Time (T) | Actual CT | Temp | рН | Required CT (0.5-log) | CT Met? ³ | CT Ratio (CTactual / CTrequired) | | | |
| [ppm or mg/L] | [minutes] | СХТ | [° C] | | formula | Yes / No | formula | | | |
| 1.29 | 58 | 74.8 | 12.0 | 7.29 | 19.3 | YES | 3.87 | | | |
| 1 | 58 | 58.0 | 5.0 | 7.50 | 32.2 | YES | 1.80 | | | |
| 1 | 33 | 33.0 | 5.0 | 7.50 | 32.2 | YES | 1.03 | | | |
| 1 | 33 | 33.0 | 5.0 | 7.50 | 32.2 | YES | 1.03 | | | |
| 1 | 48 | 48.0 | 5.0 | 7.50 | 32.2 | YES | 1.49 | | | |
| 1 | 48 | 48.0 | 5.0 | 7.50 | 32.2 | YES | 1.49 | | | |
| 1 | 71 | 71.0 | 5.0 | 7.50 | 32.2 | YES | 2.21 | | | |
| 1 | 71 | 71.0 | 5.0 | 7.50 | 32.2 | YES | 2.21 | | | |
| 1 | 107 | 107.0 | 5.0 | 7.50 | 32.2 | YES | 3.33 | | | |
| 1 | 107 | 107.0 | 5.0 | 7.50 | 32.2 | YES | 3.33 | | | |
| 1 | 158 | 158.0 | 5.0 | 7.50 | 32.2 | YES | 4.91 | | | |
| 1 | 158 | 158.0 | 5.0 | 7.50 | 32.2 | YES | 4.91 | | | |

. E/4/04 fee Mary 0004 .. (= 0 . . . 1

Chlorine residual at which CT_{required} would not be met are shown below:

| Minimum Cl ₂ Residual at 1st User(C) ³ | Contact Time (T) | Actual CT | Temp | pН | Required CT (0.5-log) | CT Met? ³ | CT Ratio (CTactual / CTrequired) |
|---|------------------------------|-----------|-------|------|--------------------------|----------------------|--|
| [ppm or mg/L] | [minutes] | СХТ | [° C] | | formula | Yes / No | formula |
| 0.6 | 58 | 34.8 | 5.0 | 7.50 | 30.7 | YES | 1.13 |
| 0.5 | 58 | 29.0 | 5.0 | 7.50 | 30.4 | NO | 0.96 |
| 1 | 33 | 33.0 | 5.0 | 7.50 | 32.2 | YES | 1.03 |
| 0.9 | 33 | 29.7 | 5.0 | 7.50 | 31.8 | NO | 0.93 |
| 0.7 | 48 | 33.6 | 5.0 | 7.50 | 31.1 | YES | 1.08 |
| 0.6 | 48 | 28.8 | 5.0 | 7.50 | 30.7 | NO | 0.94 |
| 0.5 | 71 | 35.5 | 5.0 | 7.50 | 30.4 | YES | 1.17 |
| 0.4 | 71 | 28.4 | 5.0 | 7.50 | 30.0 | NO | 0.95 |
| 0.3 | 107 | 32.1 | 5.0 | 7.50 | 29.7 | YES | 1.08 |
| 0.2 | 107 | 21.4 | 5.0 | 7.50 | 29.3 | NO | 0.73 |
| 0.2 | 158 | 31.6 | 5.0 | 7.50 | 29.3 | YES | 1.08 |
| 0.1 | 158 | 15.8 | 5.0 | 7.50 | 29.0 | NO | 0.55 |





| Table 3. T_{10} for Sampling Points and Calculated Baffling Factors for Segments during a 13.1 MGD Tracer Study | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Location | T ₁₀ (min) | Baffling factor | | | | | |
| | | for Segment | | | | | |
| Clearwell | 33 | 0.77 | | | | | |
| Operations s Building: Lab Sample; 1-in | 48 | 0.9-1 | | | | | |
| pipeline | | | | | | | |
| Operations Building: Potable Water; 4-inch, | 67-71 | 0.9-1 | | | | | |
| 2-inch; 12-inch pipeline (estimated) | | | | | | | |
| 24-inch Main to first customer | (107) | 0.9 | | | | | |
| 16-inch Main to first customer | 158 | 0.8 | | | | | |

The figure below shows the relevant flows and calculations reflecting the results of the August 30, 2023 tracer study.

| Aug 30, 2023 Tracer Study Scenario - all pipelines in service | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|--------------|------------|----------|-------------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|--------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------|---------|-----------------|----------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------|----------|-----------------|
| Loc #1 = | 160.9 | minutes | at flow of | 2,062 | gpm | (CW + pip | e flow) | | | 24 | inch dia | | 24 | inch dia | | 24 | inch dia | | 24" pipe | total <u>s</u> |
| Loc #2 = | 108.0 | minutes | at flow of | 7,035 | gpm | (CW + pip | e flow) | | | 3 <mark>,</mark> 852 | LF | | 15,436 | LF | | 4,045 | LF | = | 23,333 | LF |
| Cup Sink = | 71.1 | minutes | at flow of | 10.1 | gpm | (CW + pip | e flow) | | | 12,101 | ft ³ | | 48,494 | ft ³ | | 12,708 | ft ³ | = | 73,303 | ft ³ |
| | Clearwell | | | | Total Vol = | 40,117 | gallons | | | 90,525 | gallons | | 362,758 | gallons | | 95 <mark>,</mark> 061 | gallons | = | 548,343 | gallons |
| | | | | | | | | | | 90% | Baffling | | 90% | Baffling | | 90% | Baffling | | | |
| | Total Vol= | 594,482 | gal | | 42 | inch dia | 36 | inch dia | Haskins | 7,035 | gpm | Panther | 7,035 | gpm | Old | 7,035 | gpm | | | |
| | Max Ht = | 26.0 | ft | | 337 | LF | 300 | LF | Creek | 11.6 | Minutes | Creek | 46.4 | Minutes | Wagon | 12.2 | Minutes | | Location | #2 |
| | Vol/Ft = | 22,865 | gal/ft | | 3,242 | ft ³ | 2,121 | ft ³ | Intertie | | >>>> | Intertie | | >>>> | Road | | >>>> | | 7,035 | gpm |
| | | | | | 24,254 | gallons | 15,863 | gallons | | | | | | | Marley | | | | 108.0 | Min |
| Current | Ht = | 17.3 | ft | | 100% | Baffling | 100% | Baffling | | | | | | | Intertie | | | | (Study = | 107 min) |
| Current | Vol = | 395,559 | gal | | 9,097 | gpm | 9,097 | gpm | 7,035 | | | 7,035 | | | 7,035 | | | | | |
| Baffling | Factor = | 77.0% | | | 2.7 | Minutes | 1.7 | Minutes | \uparrow | 36 | inch dia | | 16 | inch dia | | 16 | | | | |
| Effective | Vol = | 304,581 | gal | Flow | | >>>> | >>>> | >>>> | | 3,263 | LF | | 15,436 | LF | | 1 | | | | |
| Effluent | Flow = | 9,097 | gpm | Meter | | | | | | 23,065 | ft ³ | \$ | 21,553 | ft ³ | \$ | 1 | | | | |
| Contact | Time = | 33.5 | minutes | 9,097 | | | | | | 172,537 | gallons | | 161,226 | gallons | | 10 | | | | |
| (Tracer | Study = | 33 | minutes) | gpm | | | | | \checkmark | 76% | Baffling | | 76% | Baffling | | 100% | Baffling | | | |
| | | \downarrow | 4 | 2 | 8 | 0.5 | Total | inch dia | 2,062 | 2,062 | gpm | 2,062 | 2,062 | gpm | 2,062 | 2,062 | gpm | | | |
| | | 10.1 | 263 | 28 | 78 | 20 | 389.0 | LF | | 63.6 | Minutes | | 59.4 | Minutes | | 0 | Minutes | Location | #1 | |
| | | gpm | 22.95 | 0.61 | 27.23 | 0.03 | 50.8 | ft ³ | | | >>>> | | | >>>> | | | >>>> | 2,062 | gpm | |
| | | (WTP | 171.69 | 4.57 | 203.67 | 0.20 | 380.1 | gallons | | | | | | | | | | 160.913 | Min | |
| | | site | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | - | Baffling | | | | | | | | | | (Study = | 158 min) | |
| | | water | 10.1 | 10.1 | 10.1 | 10.1 | - | gpm | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | flow) | 17.00 | 0.45 | 20.17 | 0.02 | 37.64 | Minutes | | WTP Cup | Sink | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 263 fee | t of 4"+ 2 | 8 feet o | f 2"+ 78 f | eet of 8" | + 20 fee | et of 0.5" | 10.1 | gpm | 71.12 | minutes | | | | | | | | |

This approved method culminates from email correspondence from over the past 6 months as documented in the timeline & email correspondence enclosed with this letter.

As stated in Nicole's January 29, 2024, tracer study approval letter, the contact times and baffling factors determined by the August 30, 2003 tracer study are valid for use provided the system is operated within the parameters listed below:

- 1. Clearwell Reservoir level above 15.57-ft (10% below the avg tracer study reservoir level of 17.3 ft.); &
- 2. Flow up to 10,010 gpm (10% above the tracer study flow of 9,100 gpm).

Please be aware the contact time and the baffling factors are valid within these specific conditions/parameters listed and a new tracer study will be required if those conditions are not met.

As previously indicated, additional contact time, obtained through transmission pipelines (after the clearwell to the disinfection compliance location), can be determined using plug-flow calculations factoring in pipe pathways reflective of the interties in use.

Thank you for your patience in awaiting this letter and if you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at any time at evan.e.hofeld@oha.oregon.gov or 971-200-0288.

Sincerely,

Evan Hofeld, Regional Engineer Oregon Health Authority **Drinking Water Services**

Cc:

James Burke, Engineering and Operations Director, MW&L: jwb@mc-power.com Nick Wirth, Water Treatment Plant Supervisor: njw@mc-power.com Craig Massie, Project Manager, Jacobs: Craig.Massie@jacobs.com Humberto Jaramillo PhD, Drinking Water/Reuse Engineer, Jacobs: humberto.jaramillo@jacobs.com

Encl. Timeline & Email Correspondence January 29, 2024 tracer study approval letter (showing corrections)

Timeline for last fall and the first half of 2024

- August 30, 2023: Tracer study was conducted.
- December 18, 2023: A final tracer study report and associated MS Excel file for McMinnville Water & Light (MW&L) was received via email from Craig Massie with Jacobs.
- January 24, 2024: Nicole Alfafara requested the following information to which Craig Massie replied on January 24, 2024, as indicated in italic blue font shown below (numbers in bold red font reflects updated pipe calculations provided by Craig Massie on May 30, 2024).
 - A more detailed breakdown of how a 344-gallon volume was determined for the W1 pipe to the WTP Office/1st User. *There is 288 feet of 4 inch pipe, 60 feet of 2 inch pipe, 50 feet of 8 inch pipe, and 90 feet of 1.5 inch pipe routed inside the building to the first fixture. Those lengths and pipe sizes result in a volume of 344 gallons.* 263-ft of 4" + 28-ft of 2" + 78-ft of 8" + 20-ft of 0.5" yields a volume of 380-gallons with a contact time of 38 minutes.
 - 2) a breakdown of how a 13-gallon volume was determined for the sample pipeline to the Op Building. *There is approximately 325 feet of 1 inch FW sample line from the clearwell to the sample sink in the lab. That length and pipe diameter results in 13 gallons volume.*
 - 3) Confirmation of the 10.1 gpm flowrate from the clearwell to the WTP Office Tap/1st User (11 gpm (5 faucets * 2.2 gpm max flow assumption) 0.9 gpm flow to the sample pipeline = 10.1 gpm). *Correct assumption on the flowrate*.

- January 30, 2024: MW&L water treatment plant supervisor, Nicolas Wirth, emailed Nicole asking for clarification on what contact time is to be used.
 - 1) what contact time we will be using for the state CT reports. Clearwell 33 minutes? Also, on the clearwell, why is there no time credit given for the piping, that we had calculated at 66 total minutes:
 - a. Clearwell 2nd user 24" pipeline was given a contact time of 107 minutes at 10.1 MGD
 - b. Clearwell 2nd user 16" pipeline was given a contact time of 158 minutes at 2.97 MGD

The letter was written with a focus on the assessment of the 33 minutes of contact time achieved in the clearwell at the 13.1 MGD flow rate/17.3 feet level. The Tracer Study demonstrated the clearwell alone provides 33 minutes and the system can calculate additional pipe contact time via plug-flow based on water flow paths possible given intertie configuration along the 24" and 16" main transmission lines.

- 2) I was hoping that we would just have 1 number to use and one sample location to use when this was all said and done. *While this provides flexibility it does not make contacting CT super straightforward*.
- 3) Are we to use the 2nd user on our state CT reports (either one, our preference) and just have a separate report that we don't submit to the state for the Norman Scott WTP office tap?
- 4) When can we use the 16" contact time and when do we need to use the 24" contact time? During the summer when we are making, say 13.2MGD what number do we use on our report? *I suggest we set up a time to meet to go over this to ensure we are on the same page*.
- 5) Also, the approval letter starts off with the date stating August 2034, could we get a revised copy with the correct date? A revised letter with the corrected date (January 29, 2024) was emailed on January 30, 2024.
- January 30, 2024: Nicole Alfafara issued the final approval letter dated January 29, 2024 for the tracer study.
- February 13, 2024: A meeting was held to discuss the following potential locations to determine CT/chlorine residual compliance:
 - a. At WTP lab sink
 - b. At 16 inch transmission line sample point (preferred)
 - c. At 24 inch transmission line sample point

City to compare measurements of chlorine residual, pH, and temperature to determine if CT parameters were consistent across sample locations.

- May 30, 2024: Craig Massie emailed requesting that OHA approve the use of the sampling location at the lab for measuring CT parameters and provided information.
 - 1) Confirming that the sampling at the lab using the potable water path through the intentionally oversized piping sees essentially the same water temp as at the clearwell.
 - 2) Including updated piping lengths as marked in an attachment (and shown below) having even more piping to this point (including all the piping around the potable water pumps whereas previous estimates only included yard piping and piping in the building only).

| The August 30, 2023 tracer study, conducted level of 17.3ft, evaluated contact times at va summarized below: | d at a peak flowrate of 13.1 MGD and an average clearwell rious locations post the clearwell. These findings are 263 feet of 4"+ 28 feet of 2"+ 78 feet of 8" + 20 feet of 0.5" |
|---|--|
| <u>Clearwell – 1st User at the Norman Scot</u> Clearwell Contact Time (T₁₀): Calculated Pipe Flowrate (post clear Pipe Dimensions: 325 ft Calculated Total Pipe Volume (post Contact Time (T₁₀) (post clearwell to MWL calculated 66 minutes (33 minute contact time is achieved through the clear | t WTP office tap: 33 minutes *see previous letter page well to office): of 1" + 288 ft of 4" + 60 ft of 2" + 50 ft of 8" + 90 ft of 1.5" clearwell to office): 33/ gallons o office): 380 gallons s from clearwell + 33 minutes from finished WTP piping) of arwell to the 1 st user at the Norman Scott WTP office tap. |

- June 12, 2024: email exchange between Evan Hofeld and Craig Massie to clarify some of the dimensions in the tracer study report, which resulted in confirming the two errors mentioned on the first page of this letter.
- June 14, 2024: Draft letter approving the sample location was emailed to the City for review and comment.

Email correspondence:

| From: Massie, Craig < <u>Craig, Massie@jacobs.com</u> > Sent: Monday, December 18, 2023 3:13 PM To: Alfafara Nicole H < <u>Nicole.H.Alfafara@oha.oregon.gov></u> Cc: Nicholas Wirth < <u>Niw@mc-power.com</u> >; Ryan Sticka < <u>rls@mc-power.com</u> >; James Burke <jwb@mc-power.com>; Jaramillo, Humberto <<u>Humberto.Jaramillo@jacobs.com</u>> Subject: RE: Tracer Study Report Scott WTP with append.pdf</jwb@mc-power.com> |
|---|
| Think twice before clicking on links or opening attachments. This email came from outside our organization and might not be safe. If you are not expecting an attachment, contact the sender before opening it. |
| |
| Final version attached with appendices and track changes accepted. Fill get you the spreadsheet shortly. |
| Craig Massie, PE* Project Manager W: 541 768 3478 M: 541 602 6895 (not answered while driving) |
| Jacobs 1100 NE Circle Blvd |
| Suite 300 Corvallis, OR 97330 www.jacobs.com LinkedIn Twitter Facebook Instagram |
| From: Alfafara Nicole H < <u>Nicole.H.Alfafara@oha.oregon.gov</u> > |
| Sent: Wednesday, January 24, 2024 10:20 AM To: Massie, Craig < <u>Craig.Massie@jacobs.com</u> > |
| Cc: Nicholas Wirth < <u>njw@mc-power.com</u> >; Ryan Sticka < <u>rls@mc-power.com</u> >; James Burke <j<u>wb@mc-power.com>; Jaramillo, Humberto <<u>Humberto.Jaramillo@jacobs.com</u>> Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Tracer Study Report Scott WTP with append.pdf</j<u> |
| Hi Craig, |
| 1. Can I get a more detailed breakdown of how a 344 gallon volume was determined for the W1 pipe to the WTP Office/1# User? (i.e., pipe Length and size so I can verify area/volume calculations) |
| Can I get a breakdown of how a 13 gallon volume was determined for the sample pipeline to the Op Building? Can you please confirm the following: |
| Flowrate from clearwell to WTP Office Tap/1st User: 10.1 gpm Flowrate from clearwell to Tap/1st User: 10.1 gpm |
| It gpm (5 laucets - 2.2 gpm max now assumption) – 0.9 gpm now to the sample pipeline = 10.1 gpm |
| am in the training today but I will take a call anytime today before 4pm to discuss this. |
| Nicole |
| Nicole Alfafara |
| Oregon Health Authority |
| Prone: (503) 278-1551 Fax: (971) 673-0694 |
| From: Massie, Craig < <u>Craig.Massie@jacobs.com</u> > Sent: Wednesday, January 24, 2024 11:32 AM To: Alfafara Nicole H < <u>Nicole.H.Alfafara@oha.oregon.gov</u> > |
| Cc: Nicholas Wirth < <u>njw@mc-power.com</u> >; Ryan Sticka < <u>rls@mc-power.com</u> >; James Burke < <u>jwb@mc-power.com</u> >; Jaramillo, Humberto < <u>Humberto.Jaramillo@jacobs.com</u> > Subject: RE: Tracer Study Report Scott WTP with append.pdf |
| Here is the math on the 344 gallon W1 piping: |
| There is 288 feet of 4 inch pipe, 60 feet of 2 inch pipe, 50 feet of 8 inch pipe, and 90 feet of 1.5 inch pipe routed inside the building to the first fixture. Those lengths and pipe sizes result in a volume of 344 gallons |
| Here is the math on the 13-gallon volume sample piping to the building: |
| There is approximately 325 feet of 1 inch FW sample line from the clearwell to the sample sink in the lab. That length and pipe diameter results in 13 gallons volume. |
| Correct assumption on the flowrate. |
| Craig Massie, PE* Project Manager W: 541 768 3478 |

Tracer Study Final Approval (PR 139-2015) - McMinnville Water & Light (00497) June 25, 2024 Page 12 of 19



Tracer Study Final Approval (PR 139-2015) - McMinnville Water & Light (00497) June 25, 2024

| | | | | Page | 13 of 19 |
|---|-----------------------|---|--|--|-------------------|
| Nicholas J. Wirth <njw@mc-power.com></njw@mc-power.com> | \odot | S Reply | Keply All | \rightarrow Forward | ü … |
| To OAlfafara Nicole H; ORyan L. Sticka; OJames W. Burke | | | ' | Tue 1/30 | /2024 9:56 AM |
| Cc O Massie, Craig; O Jaramillo, Humberto; O Hofeld Evan E | | | | | |
| From: Alfafara Nicole H < <u>Nicole.H.Alfafara@oha.oregon.gov</u> > | | | | | |
| To: Nicholas J. Wirth < <u>njw@mc-power.com</u> >; Ryan L. Sticka < <u>rls@mc-power.com</u> >; James W. Burke < <u>jwb@mc-p</u> | ower.co | <u>m</u> > | | | |
| CC: Massie, Craig < <u>Craig: Massie @jacobs.com</u> >; Jaramilio, Humberto < <u>Humberto.Jaramilio@jacobs.com</u> >; Hofeic Subject: RE: Tracer Study Report Scott WTP with append.pdf | Evan E | < <u>EVAN.E.HOFELD@</u> | ona.oregon.gov> | | |
| Hi Nicholas, | | | | | |
| Attached is the Tracer Study approval letter with the date corrections. | | | | | |
| I understand your inquiry as the letter was written with a focus on the assessment of the 33 minutes of contact The Tracer Study demonstrated the clearwell alone provides 33 minutes and the system can calculate additiona | time ach I pipe co | nieved in the clear intact time via plug | well at the 13.1 MGD fl g-flow based on water i | low rate/17.3 feet leve flow paths possible giv | l. en intertie |
| configuration along the 24" and 16" main transmission lines. While this provides flexibility it does not make con ensure we are on the same page. Let me know when would work best for you. | tacting C | T super straightfo: | rward. I suggest we set | up a time to meet to p | go over this to |
| Cheers, | | | | | |
| Nicole | | | | | |
| Nicole Alfafara Drinking Water Services | | | | | |
| Oregon Health Authority | | | | | |
| Fax: (971) 673-0694 | | | | | |
| Nicole, H.Airatara@ona.oregon.gov | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Massie, Craig <craig.massie@jacobs.com></craig.massie@jacobs.com> | (| S Reply | S Reply All | \rightarrow Forward | ••• |
| To ● Hofeld Evan E; ◇ Alfafara Nicole H | | | | Thu 5/30 | /2024 9:54 AM |
| From: Massie, Craig < <u>Craig.Massie@jacobs.com</u> > Sent: Thursday, May 20, 2024 9:46 AM | | | | | |
| To: Alfafara Nicole H < <u>Nicole.H.Alfafara@oha.oregon.gov</u> >; Hofeld Evan E < <u>EV</u> | AN.E. | HOFELD@oh | a.oregon.gov> | | |
| Subject: FW: 00497-CT-LTR-2024-01(dates corrected) Jacobs edits.pdf | | | | | |
| which has too be from all all and an United sector of a state all as well. Which are all as well | £ | | | | f |
| are not expecting an attachment, contact the sender before opening it. | trom | outside our d | organization and | i might not be sa | are. Ir you |
| | | | | | |
| Nicole and Even | | | | | |
| Nicole and Evan, | | | | | |
| We've confirmed the sampling at the lab using the potab | le w | ater path | through the | intentional | v |
| oversized piping sees essentially the same water temp a | is at | the clear | well. I've up | odated the p | piping |
| lengths as marked in the attached. MW&L would like yo | ur a | pproval to | use this lo | cation for | |
| sampling. Note that we have even more piping to this p | oint, | and I incl | uded all the | piping arou | und the |
| potable water pumps where as in the previous estimate | l inc | luded the | yard piping | and piping | in the |
| building only. | | | | | |

Tracer Study Final Approval (PR 139-2015) - McMinnville Water & Light (00497) June 25, 2024 Page 14 of 19







| rom:Massie, Craig <craig.massie@jacobs.com>ent:Wednesday, June 12, 2024 4:51 PMo:Hofeld Evan E; Alfafara Nicole Hubject:RE: 00497-CT-LTR-2024-01(dates corrected) Jacobs edits.pdf</craig.massie@jacobs.com> | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| See comments be | elow: | | | | | | | |
| Craig Massie, PE* Project Manager V: 541 768 3478 M: 541 602 6895 (not an | swered while driving) | | | | | | | |
| acobs 100 NE Circle Blvd Guite 300 Corvallis, OR 97330 Www.jacobs.com Linke | dIn <u>Twitter</u> <u>Facebook Instagram</u> | | | | | | | |
| OR, WA | | | | | | | | |
| rom: Hofeld Evan E <ev ent: Wednesday, June : o: Massie, Craig <craig< th=""><th>/AN.E.HOFELD@oha.oregon.gov> 12, 2024 4:31 PM Massie@iacobs.com>: Alfafara Nicole H <nico< th=""><th>le H Alfafara@</th><th>oha oregon gov</th><th></th></nico<></th></craig<></ev | /AN.E.HOFELD@oha.oregon.gov> 12, 2024 4:31 PM Massie@iacobs.com>: Alfafara Nicole H <nico< th=""><th>le H Alfafara@</th><th>oha oregon gov</th><th></th></nico<> | le H Alfafara@ | oha oregon gov | | | | | |
| From: Hofeld Evan E <ev Sent: Wednesday, June : Fo: Massie, Craig <craig. Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: (es, I see that now that the HASKINS CREEK INTERTIE</craig. </ev | /AN.E.HOFELD@oha.oregon.gov> 12, 2024 4:31 PM .Massie@jacobs.com>; Alfafara Nicole H <nico 00497-CT-LTR-2024-01(dates corrected) Jacol the 18,700 (3,263 + 15436 = 18,699) 1,087.67 PANTHER CREEK</nico | le.H.Alfafara@ os edits.pdf | oha.oregon.gov> | | | | | |
| From: Hofeld Evan E <e\ Sent: Wednesday, June : To: Massie, Craig <craig. Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Yes, I see that now that t</craig. </e\ | /AN.E.HOFELD@oha.oregon.gov> 12, 2024 4:31 PM .Massie@jacobs.com>; Alfafara Nicole H <nico 00497-CT-LTR-2024-01(dates corrected) Jacol the 18,700 (3,263 + 15436 = 18,699)</nico | le.H.Alfafara@ >s edits.pdf | oha.oregon.gov> | | | | | |
| From: Hofeld Evan E <ev Sent: Wednesday, June To: Massie, Craig <craig Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Yes, I see that now that the HASKINS CREEK INTERTIE ID LENGTH So the 1,088-ft of 36" pip</craig </ev | /AN.E.HOFELD@oha.oregon.gov> 12, 2024 4:31 PM .Massie@jacobs.com>; Alfafara Nicole H <nicole :00497-CT-LTR-2024-01(dates corrected) Jacole the 18,700 (3,263 + 15436 = 18,699) 1,087.67 1,087.67 2,007 1,087.67 2,007 1,087.67 2,007 2,004 2,0,</nicole | le.H.Alfafara@ os edits.pdf L:D = ID LENGTH / be 3,263-ft th | oha.oregon.gov> 11,577.00 16 15,436 en? YES | inch dia LF | | | | |

January 29, 2024 letter showing corrections to the supplemental data appendix:

| PUBLIC HEALTH DIVISION Center for Health Protection, Drinking Water Services Tina Kotek, Governor | Health Authority |
|--|--|
| January 29, 2024 | 800 NE Oregon Street, Suite 640 Portland, OR 97232-2162 Phone: 971-673-0405 |
| Ryan Sticka (<u>rls@mc-power.com</u>) McMinnville Water & Light PO Box 638 McMinnville, OR 97128 | http://www.healthoregon.org/dws |
| | *sent by email only |
| August 2023 McMinnville Norman Scott WTP Finished Water Tra Light – PWS ID 00497 | cer StudyMcMinnville Water & |
| Dear Mr. Sticka: | |
| Our office received tracer study results from McMinnville Water & study performed on August 30, 2023. The water treatment plant is <i>Giardia</i> . The disinfection process must gain the additional 0.5-log removal/inactivation of <i>Giardia</i> . | c Light (MWL), describing the tracer credited with a 2.5-log removal of to meet the required 3-log total |
| The following conclusions and data were gathered from the docum | nentation submitted: |
| <u>Tracer Study Segments:</u> The performed tracer study, conducted at a flowrate of 13.2 MGD 17.3ft, evaluated contact time for multiple segments or flow paths; 1. The clearwell alone, 2. Through the clearwell to the 1st User at the Norman Scott V 3. Through the clearwell and to the 2nd User along the 24" pip 4. Through the clearwell and to the 2nd User along the 16" pip | and an average clearwell level of VTP office tap, peline, and peline. |
| <u>Clearwell Tracer Study Results:</u> Flowrate: Average Clearwell Reservoir Level at time of Tracer Study Average Clearwell Reservoir Volume at time of Tracer Stu Contact Time (T₁₀): Hydraulic Efficiency / Baffling Factor: where the baffling factor is calculated by: (T₁₀[minutes] * Demon | 9,100 gpm (13.1 MGD) 17.3 ft dy 395,559 gallons 33 minutes 0.76 d flowrate [gpm]) |
| $Baffling Factor = \frac{C_{10}c_$ | g factor in determining contact time in the parameters listed below: avg tracer study reservoir level of 17.3 ft.); & f9,100 gpm). are valid within these specific d if those conditions are not met. |

Tracer Study Final Approval (PR 139-2015) - McMinnville Water & Light (00497) June 14, 2024 Page 18 of 19

Additional contact time, obtained through transmission pipelines (after the clearwell to the disinfection compliance location), can be determined using plug-flow calculations factoring in pipe pathways facilitated from intertie valve configuration.

 This tracer study, conducted at a peak flowrate of 13.1 MGD and an average clearwell level of 17.3ft, evaluated contact times at various locations post the clearwell (1st User/WTP Office Tap, 2nd User on the 24" pipeline, and 2nd User on the 16" pipeline). Those observed contact times are summarized in the Supplemental Data Appendix to this letter.

The WTP office tap is the system's 1st User, however the 2nd User along one of the mainlines can serve as a disinfection compliance location if desired.

- Chlorine residual, temperature, and pH may be monitored at the 2nd User along one of the mainlines and used to calculate daily CT values and report disinfection compliance.
- Chlorine residual, temperature, and pH should be monitored and recorded daily at the WTP
 office tap to determine if CT is met at this location. If CT is not met at this location, the system
 should indicate water is non-potable at WTP locations (i.e., office, breakroom, bathroom) to
 inform on-site water system personnel.

If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact me at (503) 278-1531 or Nicole.H.Alfafara@oha.oregon.gov. Thank you for your patience and cooperation.

Sincerely, Nall Afripu

Nicole Alfafara Technical Staff Drinking Water Service

CC:

James Burke, Engineering and Operations Director, MW&L: jwb@mc-power.com Nick Wirth, Water Treatment Plant Supervisor: njw@mc-power.com Craig Massie, Project Manager, Jacobs: <u>Craig.Massie@jacobs.com</u> Humberto Jaramillo PhD, Drinking Water/Reuse Engineer, Jacobs: <u>humberto.jaramillo@jacobs.com</u> Evan Hofeld, Regional Engineer, OHA-DWS: <u>evan.e.hofeld@oha.oregon.gov</u> Tracer Study Final Approval (PR 139-2015) - McMinnville Water & Light (00497) June 14, 2024 Page 19 of 19

| Supplemental Data Appendix | |
|---|------|
| The August 30, 2023 tracer study, conducted at a peak flowrate of 13.1 MGD and an average clearwell level of 17.3ft, evaluated contact times at various locations post the clearwell. These findings are summarized below: 263 feet of 4"+ 28 feet of 2"+ 78 feet of 8" + 20 feet of 0 | J.5" |
| <u>Clearwell – 1st User at the Norman Scott WTP office tap:</u> Clearwell Contact Time (T₁₀): <u>Clearwell Contact Time (T₁₀):</u> Calculated Pipe Flowrate (post clearwell to office): <u>Pipe Dimensions:</u> Calculated Total Pipe Volume (post clearwell to office): <u>Store (T₁₀) (post clearwell to office):</u> <u>Store (T₁₀) (post c</u> | |
| MWL calculated 66 minutes (33 minutes from clearwell + 33 minutes from finished WTP piping) of contact time is achieved through the clearwell to the 1 st user at the Norman Scott WTP office tap. | |
| 3.Clearwell - 2^{nd} User on the 24" pipeline: Average Pipe Flowrate:7035 gpm (10.1 MGD)Pipe Dimensions: Total Pipe Volume Contact Time (T10)337 ft of 42" + 300 ft of 36" + 23,333 ft of 24" 588,420 gallons 107 minutes | |
| This tracery study demonstrated 107 minutes of contact time is achieved through the clearwell to the 2^{nd} User on the 24" pipeline. | |
| 4. Clearwell - 2 nd User on the 16" pipeline: Average Pipe Flowrate: 2062 gpm (2.97 MGD) Pipe Dimensions: Total Pipe Volume Contact Time (T ₁₀) 337 ft of 42" + 300 ft of 36" + 18,700 ft of 16" | ۱ |
| This tracery study demonstrated 158 minutes of contact time is achieved through the clearwell to the 2^{nd} User on the 16" pipeline. | |

The pipe dimensions in appendix #4 for the 16" pipeline should be: 337-ft of 42" + 300-ft of 36" + 3,263-ft of 36" + 15,436-ft of 16" for a total pipe volume of 373,879 gallons